

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IRINA NEDASHKOVSKIY,

Plaintiff,

v.

KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting  
Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-00410-JDP

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES UNDER THE  
EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT

Plaintiff moves for an award of attorney's fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1). ECF No. 22. Plaintiff seeks fees in the amount of \$3,935.41 based on 16.75 hours of work in 2022 at the corresponding statutory-maximum hourly rate of \$234.95. *Id.*

The EAJA provides that a prevailing party other than the United States should be awarded fees and other expenses incurred by that party in any civil action brought by or against the United States, "unless the court finds that the position of the United States was substantially justified or that special circumstances make an award unjust." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). In determining whether a fee is reasonable, the court considers the hours expended, the reasonable hourly rate, and the results obtained. *See Comm'r, INS v. Jean*, 496 U.S. 154 (1990); *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424 (1983); *Atkins v. Apfel*, 154 F.3d 986 (9th Cir. 1998). "[E]xcessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary" hours should be excluded from a fee award, and charges that are not

1 properly billable to a client are not properly billable to the government. *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

2 Plaintiff was the prevailing party in this action. *See* ECF Nos. 20 & 21. Furthermore, the  
3 government has not filed an opposition to plaintiff's motion and therefore has failed to show that  
4 its position was substantially justified. *See Gutierrez v. Barnhart*, 274 F.3d 1255, 1258 (9th Cir.  
5 2001) (holding that the burden of establishing substantial justification is on the government). The  
6 court has independently reviewed the record and finds that both the hourly rate and hours  
7 expended are reasonable in light of the results obtained.

8 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that:

9 1. Plaintiff's motion for attorney's fees, ECF No. 22, is granted.  
10 2. Plaintiff is awarded attorney's fees under the EAJA in the amount of \$3,935.41.  
11 3. Pursuant to *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 560 U.S. 586 (2010), any payment shall be made payable  
12 to plaintiff and delivered to plaintiff's counsel, unless plaintiff does not owe a federal debt. If the  
13 United States Department of the Treasury determines that plaintiff does not owe a federal debt,  
14 the government shall accept plaintiff's assignment of EAJA fees, *see* ECF No. 24-1. and pay fees  
15 directly to plaintiff's counsel.

16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: November 7, 2023

  
19 JEREMY D. PETERSON  
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28